Smart Mitigation of flow-induced Acoustic Radiation and Transmission for reduced Aircraft, Surface traNSport, Workplaces and wind enERgy noise



### Trailing Edge Noise Reduction with Permeable Materials:

## **Description of Noise Scattering Mechanism**



UNIVERSITÄT C. Teruna, F. Avallone, D. Casalino, D. Ragni, A. Rubio-Carpio SIEGEN F. Manegar T. Carolus

CEAS-ASC Workshop 2019, 26 September 2019



H2020 MARIE SKŁODOWSKA-CURIE ACTIONS









#### **Power vs Noise**





[1] http://www.windenergy.org.nz/improvements-in-technology

[2] http://www.ewea.org/events/workshops/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/EWEA-Noise-Workshop-Oxford-2012-1-1-Stefan-Oerlemans.pdf

[3] http://www.windfarmbop.com/serrations-or-how-to-reduce-those-noisy-vortexes/



## **Metal-Foam Trailing Edge**





NACA 0018 with metal-foam trailing edge [1] Different types of trailing edge treatment



Far field sound spectra comparison [1]

[1] Rubio Carpio *et al.*, "On the role of the flow permeability of metal foams on trailing edge noise reduction", 2018 AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustics Conference [2] Rubio Carpio *et al.*, "Mechanisms of Broadband Noise Generation on Metal Foam Edges", *Physics of Fluids, under review*.



## The Questions



- Trailing-edge <u>permeability</u> affects noise reduction, but how?
- Some <u>parameters</u> that are proportional to far-field noise according to Amiet's model [1,2] :

Spanwise correlation length Airfoil response function  $S_{pp}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \sim \Phi_{pp}(\omega) L_{pp}^{Z}(\omega) \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\frac{\omega}{U_{c}}, K_{y}\right)$ Spectra of the wall-pressure fluctuation

- Could the changes in these parameters be linked to the noise reduction?
- To gain more insights into the aeroacoustics of porous trailing edge, a numerical study is performed using **PowerFLOW 5.4b**.

[1] Amiet, R. K, Noise due to turbulent flow past a trailing edge. *Journal of sound and vibration*, 47(3), 387-393, 1976
 [2] Roger, M., & Moreau, S., Back-scattering correction and further extensions of Amiet's trailing-edge noise model. Part 1: theory. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 286(3), 477-506, 2005









• The porous material is modelled using equivalent fluid regions governed by Darcy's law.

\* PM (Porous Material) – an equivalent fluid region where certain mechanical impedance can be specified, subjecting the permeating fluid to viscous and inertial losses. \*\* APM (Acoustics Porous Material) – a model similar to the PM with the addition of porosity, which governs the mass flow of transpiration across the porous medium surface

## Integral Boundary Layer Parameters







Comparison of boundary layer integral parameters between the simulation and the experiment

[1] Carpio, A. R., Martínez, R. M., Avallone, F., Ragni, D., Snellen, M., & Van Der Zwaag, S. Broadband trailing edge noise reduction using permeable metal foams. In 46 th International Congress and Exposition of Noise Control Engineering (pp. 27-30), 2017.

## **Far-field Sound at Reference Location**



#### Far-field sound spectra from the three trailing edge treatments

There are discrepancies, mainly in the high frequency, however simulation results • remain in trend with the experiment.

[1] Rubio Carpio et al., "On the role of the flow permeability of metal foams on trailing edge noise reduction", 2018 AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustics Conference [2] León, C. A., Merino-Martínez, R., Ragni, D., Avallone, F., & Snellen, M., Boundary layer characterization and acoustic measurements of flow-aligned trailing edge serrations. *Experiments* in Fluids, 57(12), 182, 2016.



## **Numerical Beamforming**







• The changes in the surface pressure statistics do not warrant the noise reduction of the porous TE.







## IV. ACOUSTIC SCATTERING ANALYSES



• The trailing edge is sub-divided into strips to quantify their far-field noise contributions.



Cumulative far-field noise contribution of strips

- Strip 1 and 2 of the porous TE (i.e., solid-porous junction) have more dominant contributions than those of the blocked TE.
- Porous TE shows a large variation of slope, indicating the presence of destructive interference.







Cross-power spectral density (CPSD) matrix between strips for different TE treatments

S<u>mart</u> ISWER







## V. THE EFFECTS OF PERMEABILITY



## **Contours of Velocity Statistics**





Contours of free and restant and the least define store and we locally flocities to move approach to the store of the stor

- The contours show weak recirculating flow-field inside the porous medium.
- Nevertheless, the freestream-normal velocity fluctuations are quite different between both cases.





• The correlation of the wall-normal velocity  $R_{vv}^*$  is defined as:  $R_{vv}^*(x, x + \Delta x) = \frac{v(x)v(x + \Delta x)}{\overline{v(x)^2}}$ 



(*i*) x/c = -0.018

(*ii*) x/c = -0.028

**T**UDelft

Cross-correlation of wall-normal velocity fluctuations



## **Contours of Velocity Statistics**

y/c

0.08

0.06

0.04

0.02

-0.02

-0.04

-0.06

-0.08

0

y/c

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

Δ

0.02

0

aa \*

К

# **″**UDelft

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

aa \*

Я



(*i*) x/c = -0.018



CEAS-ASC Workshop 2019, 26 September 2019

(*ii*) x/c = -0.028 $8 < St_c < 16$ 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.02 y/cy/c0 0 0 -0.02 -0.02 -0.02 -0.04 -0.04 -0.04 -0.06 -0.06 -0.06 -0.08 -0.08 -0.08 -0.04 -0.02 0 0.02 -0.04 -0.02 0 0.02 -0.04 -0.02 0 0.02 x/cx/cx/c

 $16 < St_c < 32$ 





**Near-Field Pressure** 



 $4 < St_c < 8$ 

 $8 < St_c < 16$ 

 $16 < St_c < 32$ 

(a) Porous TE



#### (b) Blocked TE





## **Porous Material Impedance**



•  $p'_{RMS}/v'_{RMS}$  is proportional to the impedance of the porous material[1,2].



The comparison of  $p'_{RMS}/v'_{RMS}$  contour between porous and blocked TE

- Inside the porous TE, the ratio decreases in the streamwise direction, which appears to cause milder impedance jump at the actual trailing edge  $\rightarrow$  less efficient scattering at the trailing edge.
- The variation of  $p'_{RMS}/v'_{RMS}$  in the porous TE might be interpreted as a continuous impedance mismatch  $\rightarrow$  acoustic scattering at multiple chordwise locations [3].

[1] Maria, A. K., & James, C. J., "Acoustic absorption in porous materials", Report No. NASA/TM, 316995, 2011.

[2] Koh, S. R., Meinke, M., & Schröder, W., "Numerical analysis of the impact of permeability on trailing-edge noise". *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 421, 348-376, 2018.
[3] Kisil, A., & Ayton, L. J. Aerodynamic noise from rigid trailing edges with finite porous extensions. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 836, 117-144, 2018.





# VI. CONCLUSION & OUTLOOK



## Conclusions



- The application of metal-foam to reduce trailing edge noise has been studied using lattice-Boltzmann method.
- Conventional solid trailing edge models can not be used directly to predict noise reduction of the porous TE.
- > The flow-field interaction across the porous trailing edge is a necessary condition to achieve noise reduction.
- The noise reduction of the porous TE might be caused by the combination of destructive interference between distributed sound sources as well as the reduction of scattering efficiency at the trailing edge.









Numerical study of a 3D-printed porous trailing edge for one-to-one comparison against the experiments.



NACA 0018 with 3D-printed trailing edge



Mesh distribution in the simulation domain



# Thank you for your attention !

This study is supported by the project SMARTANSWER (Smart Mitigation of flow-induced Acoustic Radiation and Transmission for reduced Aircraft, surface traNSport, Workplaces and wind enERgy noise) which has received funding from the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 722401. More information can be found on https://www.h2020-smartanswer.eu/